

What is claimed is:

1. A method of coordinating services, comprising the steps of:

5 providing a database of service providers;
obtaining service need information concerning a customer;

selecting at least a portion of the service providers in the database based on the service need
10 information;

ranking the selected service providers; and
presenting at least one of the ranked service providers to the customer for choice to perform the service.

15 2. The method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the providing step is practiced by:

defining a plurality of service zones;
establishing at least one service factor for each service zone, the service factor including a price adjustment
20 for services provided by the service providers in the service zone;

identifying service providers in each service zone willing to provide services at a price incorporating the service factor; and

25 inputting the identified service providers into the database.

3. The method as claimed in claim 2, including obtaining a first price for a repair service; and

30 adjusting the first price by the at least one service factor to define a modified price.

00515800 00515800

Sub
a1

4. The method as claimed in claim 2, including establishing at least one supplemental service factor for at least a portion of the service providers in the database.

5 5. The method as claimed in claim 4, including ranking the selected service providers by supplemental service factor.

6. The method as claimed in claim 4, including
10 adjusting a first price for services in a service zone by the service factor and supplemental service factor to obtain a total discount price.

7. The method as claimed in claim 1, including
15 establishing a satisfaction index for at least a portion of the service providers in the database.

8. The method as claimed in claim 7, including ranking the selected service providers by satisfaction index.
20

9. The method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the selecting step is practiced by:
generating a reference area; and
identifying service providers in the database
25 located in the reference area.

10. The method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the ranking step is practiced by:
obtaining a primary ranking by determining at least
30 one of a last service date, a supplemental service factor, and a satisfaction index for each service provider and ranking the selected service providers by at least one of the last service date, supplemental service factor, and satisfaction index.

COPIED FROM

11. The method as claimed in claim 10, obtaining a secondary ranking by:

selecting at least a portion of the service providers from the primary ranking;

5 ranking the selected portion of the selected service providers by at least one of the last service date, supplemental service factor, and satisfaction index to form the secondary ranking.

10 12. The method as claimed in claim 11, wherein the selected service providers are selected by selecting the top 20% of the service providers from the primary ranking.

15 13. The method as claimed in claim 11, wherein the selected service providers are selected by selecting the top five to ten listed service providers from the primary ranking.

14. A method of coordinating an automotive glass repair process for a customer, comprising the steps of:

20 providing a database of glass repair shops;

obtaining glass loss information;

selecting at least a portion of the glass repair shops in the database based on the glass loss information;

ranking the selected glass repair shops; and

25 presenting at least one of the ranked repair shops to the customer for choice to perform the glass repair.

15. The method as claimed in claim 14, wherein the providing step is practiced by:

30 determining a plurality of service zones;

establishing at least one service factor for each service zone, the service factor including an adjustment to a first price to define a modified price; and

00648300 071300

ranking the selected repair shops based on the at least one supplemental service factor to form a primary ranking;

5 selecting at least a portion of the selected repair shops from the primary ranking and forming a secondary ranking by ranking the selected repair shops based on the last service date.

10 20. A method of coordinating an automotive glass repair process for a policyholder of an insurance company, comprising the steps of:

inputting data for a plurality of glass repair shops into a data storage device, the data including a geographic location designation, a service factor and a supplemental
15 service factor for each repair shop;

receiving glass loss information about the policyholder;

ranking at least a portion of the repair shops in the database based on at least one of the glass loss
20 information, service factor and supplemental service factor; and

presenting at least one of the ranked repair shops to the policyholder for choice to perform the glass repair.

25 21. The method as claimed in claim 20, wherein the inputting step is practiced by:

identifying a plurality of service zones;

identifying a comparison glass repair cost;

30 establishing at least one service factor for each service zone, the service factor including a price adjustment to the first price to define a modified price;

designating repair shops which are willing to conduct glass repairs for the modified price as approved repair shops;

establishing at least one supplemental service factor for at least one of the approved repair shops, the supplemental service factor including an additional price adjustment to the modified price to obtain a supplemental discount price; and

inputting the approved repair shops into the data storage device.

22. The method as claimed claim 20, wherein when the policyholder has a preferred repair shop and the preferred repair shop is one of the repair shops in the database, the method includes selecting that preferred repair shop to conduct the glass repair.

23. The method as claimed in claim 20, wherein when the policyholder has a preferred repair shop and the preferred repair shop is not a repair shop in the database, the method includes determining whether the preferred repair shop will conduct the glass repair at about the modified price.

24. The method as claimed in claim 23, wherein when the preferred repair shop agrees to conduct the glass repair at about the modified price, the method includes selecting the preferred repair shop to conduct the glass repair.

25. The method as claimed in claim 23, wherein when the preferred repair shop is not willing to conduct the glass repair at the modified price, the method includes:

conducting a competitive bidding between the preferred repair shop and at least one of the approved repair shops;

obtaining a lowest repair price from the bidding process; and

paying the policyholder the amount of the lowest bid.

5

26. The method as claimed in claim 21, wherein when the policyholder does not have a preferred repair shop, the method includes:

selecting at least one approved glass repair shop from the data storage device; and

10

scheduling a repair visit for the policyholder at the selected approved repair shop.

15

27. The method as claimed in claim 26, including: determining a policyholder reference location; generating a reference area including the reference location;

capturing approved repair shops in the reference area;

20

ranking the captured approved repair shops from a first ranked to a last ranked repair shop; and

starting from the first ranked repair shop, presenting at least one of the ranked repair shops to the policyholder to select a repair shop to conduct the glass

25

repair.

28. The method as claimed in claim 27, wherein the repair shop ranking is conducted by:

identifying the last date on which the approved repair shops were assigned a repair service when the policyholder had no repair shop preference; and

30

ranking the repair shops by the last repair service date, with the most recent service date ranked last.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35. The method as claimed in claim 27, wherein the ranking step is practiced by:

obtaining a primary ranking by ranking the captured repair shops by supplemental service factor, with the repair shop having the largest supplemental service factor ranked first and the repair shop having the lowest supplemental service factor ranked last;

starting at the first ranked repair shop, selecting a plurality of repair shops;

obtaining a secondary ranking by ranking the selected repair shops by date of last repair service when the policyholder had no preferred repair shop, with the earliest date ranked first and the most recent date ranked last; and

offering the secondary ranked repair shop with the earliest date as the repair shop to conduct the glass repair.

36. The method as claimed in claim 35, wherein the selected repair shops for secondary ranking are selected by selecting the top 20% of repair shops from the primary ranking.

37. The method as claimed in claim 35, wherein the selected repair shops for secondary ranking are selected by selecting the top five to ten repair shops from the primary ranking.

38. An apparatus for coordinating services, comprising:

a data storage device;
a processor connected to the data storage device, the storage device storing a program and a database of service providers, wherein the processor is operative with the program to receive service need information, to select at least a portion of the service providers in the database based on the

service need information, and to rank the selected service providers.

39. The apparatus as claimed in claim 38, wherein
5 the processor is further operative with the program to:
receive a plurality of service zones; and
input at least one service factor for each service
zone.

10 40. The apparatus as claimed in claim 39, wherein
the processor is further operative with the program to
establish at least one of a supplemental service factor and a
satisfaction index for each service provider in the database.

15 41. An apparatus for coordinating an automotive
glass repair process, comprising:
a data storage device; and
a processor connected to the data storage device,
the storage device storing a program and a database of glass
20 repair shops, wherein the processor is operative with the
program to receive glass loss information, to select at least
a portion of the glass repair shops in the database based on
the glass loss information, and to rank the selected glass
repair shops.

42. The apparatus as claimed in claim 41, wherein the processor is further operative with the program to:

- input a plurality of service zones;
- establish at least one service factor for each

30 service zone; and

- input into the database for each service zone information for those repair shops that are willing to conduct glass repairs at a price incorporating the service factor.

5

10

15

20

25

30

of the selected repair shops from the primary ranking based on the last service date.

Can
Could

add
as

0051800-021800